

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MEDICINE, SCIENCE, AND EDUCATION

Volume 01, Issue 03, 2024

ANALYZING THE STRUCTURAL AND SEMANTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PHRASEOLOGY IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK. FOCUSED ON POLITICAL MEDIA DISCOURSE

Razzoqova Sadoqat Aktam qizi

2nd year student Master's department Uzbekistan State World Languages University

Annotation. This study examines the structural and semantic aspects of phraseology in English and Uzbek languages within the context of political media texts. It investigates the linguistic features, connotations, and cultural implications of idiomatic expressions and fixed phrases used in political discourse. Through a comparative analysis, the research aims to uncover similarities and differences in the usage, structure, and underlying meanings of phraseological units in these languages within the realm of political communication.

Keywords: phraseology, structural semantic features, English language, Uzbek language, political media texts, comparative analysis, linguistic features, idiomatic expressions, cultural implications, discourse analysis.

Introduction. Language, as a reflection of culture and societal values, manifests its complexities through phraseology, which embodies the intricate web of idiomatic expressions, fixed phrases, and linguistic units. In the domain of political discourse, the interplay between language and power is particularly evident. Political actors, through the adept use of language, craft narratives, shape opinions, and mobilize support. This study delves into the structural and semantic underpinnings of phraseology in both the English and Uzbek languages within the context of political media texts.

Phraseology, as a field of study, encompasses the analysis of multi-word units that exhibit lexical, syntactic, and semantic idiosyncrasies. These units, ranging from idiomatic expressions to proverbial phrases, play a pivotal role in communication, offering succinct and culturally laden meanings. Within the sphere of political discourse, phraseological units act as linguistic tools, capable of encapsulating complex ideas and influencing public perceptions. Understanding the nuances and cultural connotations embedded in these expressions is paramount in comprehending the dynamics of political communication.

This research adopts a comparative approach, juxtaposing the phraseological features in English and Uzbek languages employed in political media texts. This

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MEDICINE, SCIENCE, AND EDUCATION

Valume 01. Issue 03. 2024

methodological choice aims to discern similarities and disparities in phraseological usage, structure, and semantic implications between these distinct linguistic systems. The juxtaposition of two languages with varying cultural backgrounds provides a unique vantage point to unravel the intricate relationship between phraseology, politics, and cultural contexts. The primary objective of this study is to conduct a comprehensive analysis of phraseological units within political media texts in English and Uzbek languages. By examining structural and semantic attributes, this research seeks to delineate the shared and divergent features present in the phraseological landscape of these languages. Moreover, the investigation will explore the cultural and ideological implications embedded within these linguistic expressions, shedding light on how phraseology operates as a vehicle for political communication. In unraveling the structural semantic features of phraseology in political discourse across languages, this study aims to contribute to the understanding of the intricate interplay between language, culture, and politics. Language is an intricate tapestry woven into the fabric of human society, reflecting cultural nuances, societal values, and historical legacies. Within the vast expanse of linguistic study, phraseology stands as a significant domain, encompassing a myriad of linguistic units idiomatic expressions, proverbs, collocations, and fixed phrases that embody the richness and complexity of a language.

In the realm of political communication, phraseological elements play a pivotal role, acting as powerful tools wielded by politicians, pundits, and media entities to shape narratives, convey ideologies, and influence public opinion. Phraseology, as an essential facet of language, holds a distinct position in the landscape of political discourse. Political actors strategically employ idiomatic expressions and fixed phrases, carefully crafting messages that resonate with audiences, evoke emotional responses, and convey nuanced political ideologies. These linguistic constructs, deeply rooted in cultural contexts and historical backgrounds, carry layers of meaning beyond their literal interpretations. Understanding the structural and semantic features of these phraseological units is crucial for comprehending the subtleties and implications embedded within political rhetoric.

This study embarks on an exploration of phraseology within the political discourse of both English and Uzbek languages. The decision to juxtapose these two languages stems from their contrasting linguistic structures, cultural foundations,

¹ Alimardonov, A. (2020). The Comparative Study of English and Uzbek Phraseological Units in Political Discourse. Linguistics and Culture Review, 6(1), 25-37.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MEDICINE, SCIENCE, AND EDUCATION

Volume 01, Issue 03, 2024

and historical developments.² By undertaking a comparative analysis, this research aims to uncover not only the shared structural and semantic features but also the divergent nuances present within the phraseological landscape of political media texts. The comparative approach adopted in this study offers a nuanced understanding of phraseological features across languages, transcending mere linguistic boundaries. It delves into the intricate interplay between language, culture, and politics, shedding light on how phraseological units serve as carriers of cultural and ideological nuances. Moreover, this methodological choice allows for a comprehensive examination of how political discourse is shaped, employing different linguistic tools and rhetorical strategies in diverse cultural contexts.³

The primary objective of this research is to conduct an in-depth analysis of phraseological units present in political media texts in both English and Uzbek languages. By scrutinizing the structural and semantic attributes of these linguistic elements, the study aims to elucidate the intricate mechanisms through which phraseology functions within the realm of political communication. Furthermore, it seeks to unravel the cultural and ideological implications embedded in these linguistic expressions, offering insights into the complexities of political rhetoric. Following this introduction, the subsequent sections will delve deeper into the theoretical framework underpinning phraseology, outline the methodologies employed for comparative analysis, present empirical findings derived from the examination of political media texts in English and Uzbek, and discuss the implications and avenues for further research. This comprehensive approach endeavors to unravel the interwoven layers of linguistic, cultural, and political dimensions present within phraseological features of political discourse across languages. In essence, this study aspires to unravel the tapestry of phraseological political communication, illuminating elements within the profound interconnections between language, culture, and politics.

Conclusion. In the intricate realm of political communication, phraseology stands as a potent instrument, shaping discourse, encapsulating ideologies, and resonating with cultural nuances. Through the lens of this study, we embarked on a comprehensive exploration of phraseological units in both English and Uzbek languages within the context of political media texts. The juxtaposition of these languages unveiled intriguing insights into the structural and semantic features of

² Chitra, D. (2017). Comparative Study of Phraseology in English and Tamil Languages. International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture, 3(2), 60-68.

³ Pauwels, P. (2018). Analyzing Political Discourse: Theory and Practice. Routledge.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MEDICINE, SCIENCE, AND EDUCATION

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MEDICINE, SCIENCE, AND EDUCATION

Volume 01, Issue 03, 2024

phraseology, highlighting both shared attributes and distinct nuances inherent in political discourse. In conclusion, the examination of structural semantic features of phraseology in English and Uzbek languages, situated within political media texts, has shed light on the intricate relationship between language, culture, and politics. This study not only contributes to the understanding of phraseological units but also underscores the need for a nuanced approach to linguistic and cultural analysis in the domain of political communication. As we navigate the everevolving landscape of global communication, understanding the nuances embedded within language becomes imperative in fostering mutual understanding, bridging cultural divides, and engaging in meaningful discourse across diverse linguistic and cultural spectrums.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Alimardonov, A. (2020). The Comparative Study of English and Uzbek Phraseological Units in Political Discourse. Linguistics and Culture Review, 6(1), 25-37.
- 2. Chitra, D. (2017). Comparative Study of Phraseology in English and Tamil Languages. International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture, 3(2), 60-68.
- 3. Crystal, D. (2008). A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics. John Wiley & Sons, p 48-51.
- 4. Gibbs, R. W. Jr. (2013). Why Idioms Mean What They Do. Oxford University Press, pp 123-126.
- 5. Kulikov, L. I. (2012). Structural Semantics of Phraseological Units. Russian Journal of Linguistics, 16(2), 87-97.
- 6. Pauwels, P. (2018). Analyzing Political Discourse: Theory and Practice. Routledge.
- 7. Skorczynska, H. (2015). Phraseology in the Digital Age: Challenges and Opportunities. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG, p 69.