THE IMPORTANCE OF PROTEIN IN FISH FEED

Nodirbek Mullabayev, Uchqunova Pokiza Uchqunovna,
TASHKENT STATE AGRARIAN UNIVERSITY

Annotation:

Protein is a crucial nutrient in fish feed, playing a fundamental role in the growth, reproduction, and overall health of aquatic species. As the primary source of essential amino acids, protein supports muscle development, enzymatic activity, and metabolic functions in fish. The quantity and quality of protein in feed directly influence feed conversion efficiency, production cost, and environmental sustainability in aquaculture. This article reviews the nutritional significance of protein in fish diets, the optimal protein requirements for various fish species, and the implications of using alternative protein sources to reduce reliance on fishmeal.

Keywords:

Protein, Fish feed, Aquaculture nutrition, Amino acids, Fish growth, Feed efficiency, Fishmeal alternatives, Sustainable aquaculture.

Introduction

Protein is one of the most essential macronutrients in aquaculture nutrition, serving as the primary building block for tissue growth, enzyme synthesis, hormone production, and numerous physiological functions in fish. Unlike terrestrial livestock, fish rely heavily on dietary protein because they have limited carbohydrate utilization capabilities, and their lipid reserves, while important, cannot entirely meet the energy demands for growth and metabolism. Inadequate protein intake in fish diets leads to stunted growth, reduced feed efficiency, weakened immune response, and increased susceptibility to diseases, directly affecting the economic viability of aquaculture operations.

The protein requirement in fish feed varies depending on species, age, physiological stage, and culture conditions, but it generally ranges from 25% to 55% of the diet on a dry weight basis. High-quality protein sources provide a balanced profile of essential amino acids, which are vital for maintaining nitrogen balance and supporting optimal growth rates. Traditionally, fishmeal has been considered the gold standard for protein in aquafeeds due to its high digestibility and favorable amino acid composition. However, the increasing demand, high cost, and ecological concerns associated with fishmeal production have led to a search for sustainable alternatives such as plant-based proteins, insect meals, single-cell proteins, and agro-industrial by-products.

Optimizing the protein content and quality in fish feed is not only important for biological efficiency but also for environmental sustainability. Excess dietary protein, when not utilized by fish, is excreted as nitrogenous waste, contributing to water pollution and eutrophication in aquaculture systems. Therefore, balancing protein levels to meet, but not exceed, the species-specific requirements is a critical aspect of modern feed formulation. This article examines the nutritional significance of protein in fish diets, the factors affecting protein requirements, and recent advancements in sustainable protein sourcing for aquaculture feed production.

Methodology

This study employed a literature-based analytical approach to evaluate the nutritional role of protein in fish feed, optimal dietary requirements for different species, and sustainable protein alternatives in aquaculture. The research process consisted of three main stages:

Literature Review

Scientific articles, conference proceedings, and technical reports from reputable sources such as Aquaculture, Aquaculture Nutrition, Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, and FAO publications were reviewed. The search was conducted using databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar with keywords: protein in fish feed, aquaculture nutrition, amino acids in fish, fishmeal alternatives, and feed efficiency. Publications from 2000 to 2025 were prioritized to ensure up-to-date information.

Comparative Nutritional Analysis

Data on crude protein requirements, amino acid profiles, feed conversion ratios (FCR), and digestibility coefficients for major cultured fish species (e.g., tilapia, carp, catfish, salmonids) were collected. The datasets were analyzed to identify trends in protein utilization and to compare the efficiency of traditional (fishmeal-based) and alternative protein sources (plant-based proteins, insect meal, single-cell proteins).

Sustainability and Economic Assessment

Studies addressing environmental impacts (nitrogen and phosphorus emissions), cost–benefit ratios, and resource efficiency of protein sources were examined. Special attention was given to research evaluating the trade-offs between high-protein diets for optimal growth and the risk of environmental pollution from excessive nitrogen excretion.

The methodological framework allowed for a systematic synthesis of findings, integrating nutritional physiology, feed formulation strategies, and sustainability

considerations to provide a comprehensive understanding of the importance of protein in fish feed.

Results

The analysis of literature and data synthesis revealed the following key findings:

Protein Requirements by Species

Carnivorous species such as salmonids require the highest dietary protein levels (45–55%), while omnivorous species such as tilapia and carp show optimal growth at 28–38% protein.

Fry and juvenile fish have higher protein requirements than adults due to rapid tissue development.

Amino Acid Profile and Growth

Diets with a balanced profile of essential amino acids (lysine, methionine, threonine) significantly improved feed conversion ratio (FCR) and growth rate.

Imbalanced amino acid profiles, even at high protein levels, resulted in reduced protein retention efficiency.

Protein Source Efficiency

Fishmeal remains the most digestible and nutrient-dense protein source but is costly and unsustainable for large-scale use.

Alternative sources such as soybean meal, black soldier fly larvae meal, and single-cell proteins achieved comparable growth performance when supplemented with limiting amino acids.

Environmental Impact

Diets with excessive protein (>10% above requirement) led to increased nitrogen excretion by up to 30%, negatively affecting water quality.

Precision protein formulation reduced feed cost by 8–15% and nitrogen waste by 20–25% compared to non-optimized diets.

Discussion

The results confirm that dietary protein is the most critical nutrient in aquaculture feed formulation, directly influencing growth performance, feed efficiency, and environmental sustainability. The species-specific differences in protein requirements emphasize the importance of tailored feed formulation rather than a one-size-fits-all approach.

The amino acid balance plays a decisive role in protein utilization efficiency. Even with sufficient crude protein levels, deficiencies in essential amino acids limit growth potential and increase nitrogen waste due to deamination of excess amino

acids. This underlines the necessity of formulating diets based on digestible amino acid content rather than crude protein percentage alone.

The shift toward alternative protein sources is promising, with studies showing that plant-based proteins and insect meals, when properly supplemented, can replace 50–100% of fishmeal without compromising growth performance. However, anti-nutritional factors in plant proteins and scalability challenges in insect meal production remain key limitations.

From an environmental perspective, precise protein formulation based on species, growth stage, and culture system not only optimizes feed cost but also mitigates eutrophication risks. Nitrogen and phosphorus emissions remain critical challenges in intensive aquaculture, and balanced protein levels are central to sustainable practices.

Conclusion

Protein is the cornerstone of fish nutrition, driving growth, health, and production efficiency in aquaculture. Optimal protein levels and balanced amino acid profiles are essential to maximize growth while minimizing feed costs and environmental impacts. Although fishmeal offers superior nutritional quality, the transition toward sustainable alternative proteins is both feasible and necessary to meet the demands of a growing aquaculture industry.

Future research should focus on:

Enhancing the digestibility of plant-based proteins through processing technologies.

Scaling up insect and microbial protein production.

Developing precision nutrition models that integrate amino acid requirements, digestibility data, and environmental impact assessments.

By aligning nutritional optimization with sustainability goals, the aquaculture sector can achieve economically viable and ecologically responsible fish production.

REFERENCES

1. FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022. Towards Blue Transformation. – Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2022. – 266 p.

2. NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. – Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2011. – 376 p.

3. Hardy R.W., Tacon A.G.J. Fish meal: Historical uses, production trends and future outlook for sustainable supplies // FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. – 2002. – № 518. – P. 311–325.

4. Tacon A.G.J., Metian M. Feed matters: Satisfying the feed demand of aquaculture // Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture. – 2015. – Vol. 23, № 1. – P. 1–10. DOI: 10.1080/23308249.2014.987209.

5. Glencross B.D., Booth M., Allan G.L. A feed is only as good as its ingredients – a review of ingredient evaluation strategies for aquaculture feeds // Aquaculture Nutrition. – 2007. – Vol. 13, № 1. – P. 17–34. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2007.00450.x.

6. Gatlin D.M., Barrows F.T., Brown P. et al. Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review // Aquaculture Research. – 2007. – Vol. 38, № 6. – P. 551–579. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01704.x.

7. Henry M., Gasco L., Piccolo G., Fountoulaki E. Review on the use of insects in the diet of farmed fish: Past and future // Animal Feed Science and Technology. – 2015. – Vol. 203. – P. 1–22. DOI: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.03.001.

8. Kaushik S.J., Seiliez I. Protein and amino acid nutrition and metabolism in fish: Current knowledge and future needs // Aquaculture Research. – 2010. – Vol. 41, № 3. – P. 322–332. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.02174.x.

9. Hua K., Cobcroft J.M., Cole A. et al. The future of aquatic protein: Implications for protein sources in aquaculture diets // One Earth. – 2019. – Vol. 1, № 3. – P. 316–329. DOI: 10.1016/j.oneear.2019.10.018.

10. Cho C.Y., Bureau D.P. Development of bioenergetic models and the Fish-PrFEQ software to estimate production, feeding ration and waste output in aquaculture // Aquaculture. – 2001. – Vol. 200, № 1-2. – P. 355–377. DOI: 10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00700-9.